United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,774	07/20/2004	Yasuhiro Sakurai	042593	4439	
38834 WESTERMAN	7590 06/21/2007 N, HATTORI, DANIELS &	EXAMINER			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			GOODLEY, JAMES E		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2817		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/501,774	SAKURAI, YASUHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James E. Goodley	2817	

	James E. Goodicy	2017				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con	opliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	• •					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b	etter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally rei	icated alaims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected Claims.				
	•		(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	\ ☐ will not be entered or b\ ☐ wi	ill be entered and on a	ovalanation of			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr 		ii be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ovided below of appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a N and sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	<u>xt</u> be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		·			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on or the status of the claims after e	illy is below of attact	ieu.			
11. ⊠ The request for reconsideration has been considered t	out does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	D	/			
13. Other:	. (<i>/ / 0/02/00</i>) / apo/ ((0/0).	Deny	t Lee			
		BENNY T. L	_			
		PRIMARY EXA				
James II	•					
James Lodler		ART UNIT 2	01/			
, ,						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1, Oka discloses in column 17 that the capacitiance value is set to a fixed value independent of temperature, after the temperature compensation function has been disabled. Regarding claim 2, the device of Oka in view of Gillig discloses fixing the division ratio when disabling the temperature compensation function. Lines 18-21 of column 6 in Gillig disclose that only when a change in ambient temperature is sensed, does the compensation value change. Thus, implementation of Gillig into Oka provides that when the temperature compensation function has been disabled, no temperature change will be sensed, and thus the division ratio will be fixed to the value just prior to disabling temperature compensation.